1 | SENATE BILL NO. 67

2 1. INTRODUCED BY D. WANZENRIED

3 | BY REQUEST OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

- 4 A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT PROHIBITING UNCONSCIONABLE
- 5 | PRICING OF AN ESSENTIAL GOOD OR SERVICE DURING A STATE OF EMERGENCY
- 6 OR ABNORMAL MARKET DISRUPTION; PROVIDING DEFINITIONS; PROVIDING FOR
- 7 | THE DECLARATION OF AN EMERGENCY OR ABNORMAL MARKET DISRUPTION BY
- 8 | THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OR THE GOVERNOR OR UPON A FINDING
- 9 BY A DISTRICT COURT; PROHIBITING HOARDING; AND PROVIDING FOR
- 10 ENFORCEMENT AND PENALTIES."
- 11 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
- 12 NEW SECTION. Section 1. Definitions. As used in [sections 1 through 8], the following
- 13 definitions apply:
- 14 (1) "Abnormal market disruption" means a significant disruption to the production, distribution,
- or sale of a good or service essential to the health, safety, or welfare of the public, including food,
- 16 water, fuel, shelter, transportation, and medical supplies, in a specific geographic area that is
- caused by an activating event, such as a natural or human-caused emergency or disaster occurring
- within or outside the affected area.
- 19 (2) "Affected area" means the State of Montana.
- 20 (a) the specific county mentioned or the county of a mentioned city in any declaration, finding, or
- 21 | court order under [section 2]; or
- 22 | (b) if there is not a county or city mentioned, the entire state.
- 23 (3) "Essential good or service" means food, water, fuel, shelter, transportation, or medical
- 24 supplies.
- 25 (4) "Hoarding" means the intentional withholding, obstructing, interfering with, or placing
- 26 unreasonable restrictions or conditions on the prompt delivery, transportation, or sale, at retail or
- 27 | wholesale, of an essential product good or service during a declaration of a state of emergency or
- 28 | a finding of an abnormal market disruption in the affected area covered by the declaration or
- 29 finding.
- 30 (4) (5) "Incident" means any of the following:

1

3

6

11

1 (2) A court finding of an abnormal market disruption may not be stayed. 2 NEW SECTION. Section 4 <u>3</u>. Unconscionable pricing presumed illegal prohibited. 3 (1) During a declaration of a state of emergency or a finding of an abnormal market disruption, 4 the sale by a person may not offer for sale at an unconscionable price at prices in excess of those 5 specified in subsection (2) within the affected area of an essential good or service, at retail or wholesale, within the affected area is presumed to be illegal subject to the defenses set forth in 6 7 Section 5. (2) A price is prima facie unconscionable if it exceeds is 10% by 20% or more above the average 8 price charged by a other persons within a 50 mile radius of the location of the alleged offense 9 for the same essential good or service during the 7 days prior to the alleged offense for the 10 essential good or service during the 30 days before the declaration of a state of emergency or the 11 finding of an abnormal market disruption. 12 (3) If a person did not offer for sale an essential good or service, at retail or wholesale, within an 13 affected area during the 30 days before the declaration of the state of emergency or the finding of 14 15 an abnormal market disruption, it is a prima facie violation of subsection (1) to charge a price 16 that represents a gross disparity from the price at which the good or service was readily 17 obtainable in the affected area during the 30 days immediately before the declaration or finding. 18 NEW SECTION. Section 5 4. Hoarding prohibited. During a declaration of a state of emergency or a finding of an abnormal market disruption, a person may not engage in hoarding an essential 19 good or service. However, nothing in [Section 1 through 8] shall prevent a business from closing 20 during the period of emergency or abnormal market condition if legitimate business reasons 21 22 warrant such action. 23 NEW SECTION. Section 6 5. Defenses. A defendant in an action brought to enforce the 24 provisions of [sections 1 through 8] may assert the following defenses prove that the defendant 25 did not violate the provisions of [sections 1 through 8] by producing evidence of either of the following: 26 27 (1) The defendant's net profits in the affected area during the declaration or finding did not 28 increase more than 10% above the defendant's average profits during the 30 days before the 29 declaration of the state of emergency or the finding of an abnormal market disruption; and/or, 30 (2) The increase in the price of an essential good or service during the declaration or finding was

30